

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

<hr/>		
In re: AVANDIA MARKETING, SALES	:	AVANDIA MDL 1871
PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY	:	2007-MD-1871
LITIGATION	:	
<hr/>	:	
	:	
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO	:	Case No. 10-1637
<i>County of Santa Clara v. GSK</i>	:	
<hr/>	:	

ORDER

AND NOW, this 26th day of October 2011, upon review of Defendant's Motion to Dismiss [Doc. No. 8], Plaintiff's Response in Opposition [Doc. No. 13], and Defendant's Reply [Doc. No 16], and for the reasons set forth in the attached Memorandum Opinion, it is hereby **ORDERED** that Defendant's Motion to Dismiss is **GRANTED** as to the County of Santa Clara's claim on its own behalf, and **DENIED** as to its claim on behalf of the people of California.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Cynthia M. Rufe

CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ, J.